

Data Collection Interviews

Table C1: Interviews and Meetings November 2008 – June 2009		
Individual Interviews	Group Meetings	Group Interviews
PHASE: Implementation of Participatory Process		
<p>23 individual interviews in Guatemala City, Sipacapa, and San Miguel to obtain contextual and/or background information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 with representatives of government and public institutions • 5 with representatives of NGOs • 3 with Montana managers • 2 with notable individuals • 1 with business association • 3 with Catholic Church representatives • 2 with community members of San Miguel • 1 local professional of San Miguel 	<p>Presentation of HRIA and/or request for permission to work with communities in San Miguel and Sipacapa:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 6 large meetings with approximately 266 community members, local leaders, and municipal authorities • Meeting with members of technical team of AMAC 	
<p>6 interviews in Guatemala City to select local partner</p>	<p>Informal discussion with San Miguel and Municipality of Sipacapa Corporation Officials</p> <p>Informal discussion with women's group</p>	
<p>63 individual interviews in San Miguel, Sipacapa and Guatemala City to identify concerns/key issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 community leaders • 7 with municipal leaders and organizations • 4 with local government and public institutions • 3 with public institutions • 6 representatives of Catholic Church • 3 with NGOs • 3 with academics • 8 key contacts • 2 with professional associations • 4 with sector representatives • 7 with Montana/Goldcorp management • 2 with organizations associated with Montana • 1 with consultant to Montana • 7 with international organizations • 4 with specialists 	<p>Informal discussion with vendors and clients in public market, San Miguel</p>	<p>1. Large meeting with 75 community people in Sipacapa, approximately 25 active participants: concerns on mining and development, issues</p> <p>2. Health sector in Sipacapa: 3 people, on health perspective on mining, development, contamination, other issues, concerns</p>

Table C1: Interviews and Meetings November 2008 – June 2009

Individual Interviews	Group Meetings	Group Interviews
PHASE: Implementation of Revised Assessment Process		
<p>39 interviews in Guatemala City to collect targeted information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 with officials of national government and public institutions • 2 with representatives of regional government • 2 with community representatives • 2 with representatives from local organizations • 4 with sector representatives • 1 with national human rights organization • 1 with national NGO • 1 with academic • 2 with specialists • 1 with Goldcorp regional management • 5 with Montana senior management • 2 with mine employees • 3 with institutions associated with Montana • 1 with consultant to Montana 		<p>7 Group Meetings with 56 people to obtain information from targeted stakeholder groups and deepen information:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meeting with members of technical team of AMAC: 4 people • Marlin Mine staff: 9 people • Marlin Mine corporate responsibility promoters: 13 people • Marlin Mine workers: 8 people (2 women) • Marlin Mine female workers: 8 people • Marlin Mine social development department employees: 5 people • Marlin Mine supervisors – local: 9 people
<p>50 interviews in Guatemala City, San Miguel to deepen information on key issues:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 with national government officials • 1 with local government representative • 1 with local authority • 2 with community members • 4 with community residents near mine • 8 with land sellers • 2 with local business • 2 with sector representative • 4 with specialists • 1 with professional association • 2 with Goldcorp management • 5 with Montana management • 12 with mine employees • 1 with security firms representative • 1 with organization associated with Montana 	<p>Informal discussions with mine contractors</p> <p>Informal discussions with local residents</p>	
PHASE: Analysis and Assessment of Findings		
<p>8 interviews in Guatemala City, San Miguel to corroborate or clarify information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1 with community leader • 2 with local government officials • 2 with relocated people • 1 with Montana staff • 1 with consultant to Montana • 1 with security contractor 		
<p>TOTALS Number of semi-structured interviews: 183</p>	<p>Number of meetings: 6 Number of informal discussions: 8</p>	<p>Number of group interviews: 9 Participants: 84</p>



Table C2: Focus Groups and Topics	
Focus Groups	Issues Discussed
PHASE: Implementation of Revised Assessment Process	
People participating in FSM programs from San Miguel: Legual, Siete Platos, Máquivil, Chilive, San Antonio and Municipality of San Miguel, and from Malacatancito Municipality: el Caserío Los Horcones, and Aldea La Cal: 17 people	Type of participation in programs, benefits from FSM programs in San Miguel, difficulties, opinions on mining, issues and concerns, recommendations
People participating in FSM programs from Sipacapa: Carrizal, Xebaj, Sipacapa town, Nueva Victoria, and Cancil: 12 people	Type of participation in programs, benefits from FSM programs in Sipacapa, difficulties, opinions on mining, issues and concerns, recommendations
Women from San José Nueva Esperanza, Zapote, La Peña, Siete Platos, Salitre, Chiquilila, San Miguel: 8 people	Gender view on mining, views on community development, issues and concerns, recommendations
Teachers from San Miguel, Maquivil and Agel: 5 people	Teachers' perspective on community development and education, view on mining, issues and concerns, recommendations
Youth from La Florida, Zatlá, Maquivil, Subcha, Concepcion, San Miguel town, Legual, and La Estancia: 9 people	Youth view on mining and community development, issues and concerns, recommendations
AMAC representatives of San Jose Ixcaniche, Siete Platos, San Jose Nueva Esperanza, Agel, Chiquilila, Salem, Xebaj, Plan Grande y Carrizal, San Miguel: 10 people	Type of participation in AMAC, challenges of water monitoring, issues and concerns, recommendations
Health technicians from health centre San Miguel: 10 people	Health perspective view on mining, contamination, issues and concerns, recommendations
Marlin Mine department/area managers or superintendents: 8 people	Human rights policies and implementation, gaps and concerns, company performance and labour issues, industry standards
Marlin Mine former employees and former contractors: 6 people	Labour issues, perspective on mining and community development, issues and concerns, recommendations
Sierra Madre Foundation employees: 10 people	Perspective on community development, benefits of FSM programs, challenges, issues and concerns, recommendations
TOTALS: Focus groups: 10 Participants: 95	